Validation of the re ghter WFI treadmill protocol for predicting VO 2 max

B. A. Dolezal ¹, D. Barr ², D. M. Boland ¹, D. L. Smith ² and C. B. Cooper ¹

¹Department of Medicine and Physiology, Exercise Physiology Research Laboratory, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA, ²Department of Health and Exercise Sciences, First Responder Health and Safety Laboratory, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, USA.

Correspondence to: B. A. Dolezal, Department of Medicine and Physiology, Exercise Physiology Research Laboratory, David Geffen School of Medicine, 10833 Le Conte Avenue, CHS 37–131, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. Tel: +1 310 741 8954; fax: +1 310 206 8211; e-mail:5, USA: C (USA:)404mail:5,Background

	The Wellness-Fitness Initiative submaximal treadmill exercise test (WFI-TM) is recommended by the US National Fire Protection Agency to assess aerobic capacity (VQ max) in re ghters. However, predicting VO_2 max from submaximal tests can result in errors leading to erroneous conclusions about tness.
Aims	To investigate the level of agreement between VQmax predicted from the WFI-TM against its direct measurement using exhaled gas analysis.
Methods which W	The WFI-TM was performed to volitional fatigue. Differences between estimated VOteck 0.01). The me
	$_2$ max was 0.9ml/kg/min with a 95% prediction interval of ±13.1. Prediction errors for 22% of subjects were within ±5%; 36% had errors greater than or equal to ±15% and 7% had greater than ±30% errors. The correlation between predicted and measured VQ max was r = 0.55 (standard error of the estimate = 2.8ml/kg/min).
Conclusions	WFI-TM predicts VO $_2$ max with 11% error. There is a tendency to overestimate aerobic capacity in less t individuals and to underestimate it in more t individuals leading to a clustering of values around 42 ml/kg/min, a criterion used by some re departments to assess tness for duty.
Key words	Fire ghters; tness tests; physical tness.