A motion was made to approve the meeting minutes for March 22, April 5, and April 2024. With no further proposed changes, **the meeting minutes were unanimously approved.**

2. Gratitude for this year's work and welcome to New Members

Vice hu- (lle)(l)-2 (a)4 Ah--line budget will be lower than what we had profiled initially. The volume are going to be a smaller amount of surplus in the below-the-line budget. The impact to the above-the-line budget will be primarily rate differences to the extent that the financial aid profile

to 50 students. This will mean a drop in revenue and VP Konstalid will address this shortfall when he discusses the budget.

VP Ricker shared some highlights, including the financial aid team holding dozens of Zoom meetings with families amidst a year with a federal aid system crisis, three admitted student open houses, and our Discovery Overnight Program brought the most admitted students to campus since the pandemic, and our yield on those programs was very high. If the trend holds out as the class evolves, we are up in the percentage of students who are first in their families to attend college. In spite of the changes to the application review process to comply with the Harvard and UNC ruling, this class is shaping up to have compositional diversity that is similar to past years. VP Ricker answered SGA President-Elect Maxwell's question about tapping into the waitlist, which Skidmore has done. Vice Chair Tiwari asked about the "Summer Melt" and what it looked like historically. VP Ricker answered that the last couple of years the number of students that take gap years and "melt out" of the class during the summer has been around 25 students. The rest of the melt from students who completely withdraw from Skidmore adds another 30 to 40 students, for a total summer melt of 55-65 students. We have planned for roughly the same number of total melts this year.

5. Proposed IT Security Policies

As a returning item to IPPC, Chief Technology Officer (CTO) Dwane Sterling spoke about the three IT policies and how there has not been a lot of push back to the changes made to the Policies. The Identity and Access Management Policy governs digital identities on campus, which CTO Sterling stated was in use and accurately describes procedures and how accounts on campus are managed. Similarly, the Security Infrastructure Policy governs the way the IT Department manages security on campus and this policy has not had much feedback. Regarding the Acceptable Use Policy, which received the most feedback, this received a minor overhaul where we tried to incorporate the concept around "academic freedom," specifically that it was emphasized and incorporated within the proposed changes. There was a change made to the Acceptable Use section to make sure everyone understood what protected information was and the data that pertains to students. Some people did not know that grades are considered to be a protected class of information for students and we have a responsibility to keep this information confidential and protected. The majority of pushback came from the idea of using resources for commercial purposes, specifically because there is an overlap where you can be using IT resources for commercial purposes, which also falls into the category of scholarship as well, which is now accepted. There was a section added about disclosing information that would allow people who don't normally have access to gain access to our IT resources, and there is a clarifying statement saying that depending on the category, one will be connected to the actual handbook that governs one's status (student, staff, or faculty).

FEC Chair Vuvan suggested that we wait on a vote on these policies until all parties have had a chance to respond to these changes as the FEC has not had a chance to review these policy changes. SGA President Lowell suggested we vote on the policies now and review the policies again at a later time to see if they are working. VP Konstalid suggested that we accept the

policies as they stand now and have IPPC approve them today with the condition that attention still needs to be paid to the feedback from FEC. The President's Cabinet would receive these knowing that there is still feedback to be considered by FEC. VP Vero stated that we can craft the language to make the policies effective, but provisional based on future review of these policies and future changes and additions may be added. SGA President Lowell **made a motion to approve the IT Policies as submitted contingent on President's Cabinet review of feedback from FEC; the motion was unanimously passed.**

6. Updated Sustainable Construction and Renovation Policy

As Chair of the Campus Sustainability Committee (CSS), Tarah Rowse presented revisions to the Sustainable Construction and Renovation Policy. After extensive work by the Sustainability Office and CSS, this policy was adopted by IPPC in 2021 after challenges arose around sustainability elements and construction of The Annex building. The policy involves adherence to the U.S. Green Building Council's6-2 (l)-2 (di)-2 (ng C)e SeeweTJ0.h c2 (235.53.72 (r)-1 (ecy2PCx4 (us)-1 (

residential senators and making them based on class year. SGA also removed the class president from the executive committee, separated the DEI VP from our engagement operations, created a new VP for engagement and outreach to students, and removed the SGA president as chair of the judicial board, establishing an independent review board with three judicial officers who don't report to anyone in SGA. SGA made one event planning body to have all funding go to one group as students expressed a desire to have larger concerts and events and the new events council will be able to do that more effectively. Since these changes to the SGA Constitution were changed, our bylaws, rules, and procedures no longer made sense, so they needed to be updated. This change process has been taking place since September 2023 and all 134 pages have been revised. SGA had a task force and by-lawc 0.Tj-0.002 Tcs sl3 (or)-74 (l09-10 (e t be1 Tw 6.70l e(d)-4

for all feedback to have it in place by the end of fall semester, so December 2024.

c) Middle States Reaccreditation
Institutional Effectiveness Specialist Amy Tweedy reported that there is a luncheon next week for the Middle States working group members to consider next steps for the project.

d) Title IX and Anti-Discrimination/Anti-Harassment Policy Revisions
VP Vero reported that the Department of Education released new regulations for Title IX and the EEOC, the federal agency tasked with enforcing the regulations for discrimination laws and has also recently put out a number of documents that are going to require significant revisions to both our Title IX policies and our student, staff, and faculty anti-discrimination policies. The Title IX compliance date is August 1st. The plan is to have a working group including Joel Aure, o