

1999. CFG observes that FPPC, IPC, and Benefits Committee (which reports to FPPC) have functions in areas over which faculty have a significant but not primary responsibility and in which faculty members comprise a minority of the membership.

CFG discussed ~~some~~ very preliminary ideas about simplification and clarification of faculty committees, including reducing the number of faculty members on committees and combining committees with related responsibilities. Consultation with various members of such committees ~~was~~ persuaded CFG that this was a direction that we should not pursue. Instead, CFG asked CAFR, CAPT, Curriculum Committee, FPPC, IPC, College Benefits, UWW, CEPP, and EMAC to do a ~~self~~ assessment around several questions: (1) what is the major function of your committee, (2) what is its membership, (3) what are the committee's major objectives this year, (4) is your committee achieving its goals, (5) is your committee having difficulty in meeting its goals and, if so, what issues or problems seem to ~~your~~ committee difficulty, (6) if you were asked to propose changes relative to the goals, membership, major function of your committee for purposes of improving your committee's overall performance, what suggestions might you have?

The subsequent reports ~~indicated~~ that the committees with faculty majorities and faculty chairs are functioning satisfactorily. IPC, FPPC, and Benefits have had difficulties in the past but appear to be doing better now, though issues remain. The Committee of Committees ~~supported~~ the idea of having CFG do an ~~depth~~ study next academic year on this subject and coming back to the Faculty with a recommendation about how these committees might be made more effective and where they should be situated, under Faculty Governance or College Governance. In the interim, CFG proposed a preamble to Part Two Faculty Governance of the Faculty Handbook that explains the thinking behind the committee structure now in place. The Faculty Meeting on March 19th

that CFG occupies a unique position because membership is broadly open to faculty of differing status and departments and because CFG has broad responsibilities in areas of faculty governance and faculty relations with the administration. CFG is one of only three committees comprised solely of faculty members; the others are CAPT and CAFR (when dealing with faculty issues); yet it does not have the opportunity to meet with the trustees. He informed the trustees that CFG will ask formally for meeting with the appropriate board committee next year.

AGENDA FOR 19992000.

- o propose a new edition of the Faculty Handbook for 1999 at the September Faculty Meeting.
- o conduct the four rounds of elections, make omnibus appointments, and designate sabbatical replacements for faculty members of committees who are going on leaves of absence, and examine the possibility of going to electronic balloting for elections. .
- o establish a CFG website with CFG operating code, schedule elections, annual report;

Fall Term 1998	Spring Term 1999	1999-2000
Betty Balevic, Chair	Jacqueline Azzarto	Jacqueline Azzarto 2002
Tadahisa Kuroda	Tadahisa Kuroda, Chair	Betty Balevic 2000
K. Gary McClure	K. Gary McClure	Richard Hihn 2002
Vasanthan Narasimhan	Vasanthan Narasimhan	Tadahisa Kuroda 2001
Mehmet Odekon	Mehmet Odekon	Mehmet Odekon 2001
Mary Z. Stange	Mary Z. Stange	Mary Z. Stange 2000