Committee on Educational Policies and Planning (CEPP), Annual Report for AY12012-

The committee met 28 times during the academic year, including two extended retreats. The year began with an ambitious agenda which included the following items:

Curriculum

- x CultureCentered Inquiry requirement
- x All-college curriculum

Subcommittee reports and projects

- x ACOP (Advisory Committee on Oftampus Study)
- x Assessment Steering@mittee
- x Course caps
- x Student rating form ("Dean's card") revision
- x Transitions & Transformations
- x Science literacy

Miscellaneous

- x E-portfolios
- x On-line education and MOOCs ("Massive Open **One** Courses")
- x AAC&U Principles and Practices: Faculty Leadership for Integrative Liberal Learning
- x Academic Affairs budget FY14
- x Communications with other committees: CAS (Committee on Academic Standing), CAPT (Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure), COrr(culum Committee); FEC (Faculty Executive Committee), IPPC (Institutional Policy and Planning Committee)

This report summarizes CEPPisork on these matters. For the agendas and minutes of the committee'smeetings, which were held Wednesdays mornings (**§.115**, fall; 8.309.30, spring); reports submitted to CEPP; and materials related to the curriculum and student rating form, see http://www.skidmore.edu/academics/CEPP/index.html

Curriculum

- x Culture Centered Inquiry requirement: since the spring of 2011 CEPP has develop parious modifications to the current Cultural Diversity portion of this requirement. CEPP discussed proposals on the floor of the faculty, held an open forum, and finalized a motion and rationale in the spring that would have added requirement ("Considering Difference") needing approximately 7680 courses that would count towards that requirement PPP canvassed departments and programs in the spring semesterut since they could not identify a sufficient number of courses the committee withdrew the motion with considerare luctance CEPP remains committed to reconsidering requirement and intends to incorporate its thinking about it into a broader consideration of the College curriculum.
- x All-Collememc with those requirements. CEPP shared at
- s intention to review the @bllege requirements, to compare them college curricula at other institutions, and to discuss systematically aculty the results of that revie@EPP has no preconceived notion

of what this curriculum should be; the end result of the review might either produce an endorsement of the current requirements or a proposal for modifications. CEPP began the review late in the spring and at the confeyear retreat, where the current and incoming members of CEPP examined the requirements at the top 100 liberalnattsutions, identifying broad patterns ranging from no requirements to as many as 18. CEPP will continue with the review throughout the 2013/4 academic year and consult with the faculty regularly on its progress.

Subcommittee reports and projects

- x ACOP (Advisory Committee on OfCampus Study) the committee (Cori Filson and Tina Breakell for OCSE, Michael Arnush for CEPP) reviewed student petitions for study abroad at non-approved programs, reviewed faculty proposals for travelinars, and discussed with Corey FreemanGallant (Associate Dean of the Faculty) d Lisa Hobb (OCSE) mechanisms to streamline the traveleminar proposal process.
- x Assessment SteeringCommittee CEPP consulted with Sarah Goodwin, Assessment Coordinator, about the lorterm relationship between the college's going assessment activities and CEPP. Becaus@EPP does not maintain subcommittees and the work of assessment reaches beyond educational planning, CEPP and Sarah agreed that the better locus for the Assessment Steering Committee would be the Institutional Policy and Planning Committee (IPPC). In constation with its chair, President Phil Glotzbach, and its-vilceir, Erica BastresDukehart (History), CEPP proposed and IPPC approved the transferral of the Assessment Steering Committee to IPPC's auspices.
- x Course capsCEPP received a report from the EPPCC subcommittee on course caps, discussed the report at multiple meetings, and passed along its endorsement of the

contribute to this enterprise, and (non)participation by some should not compel nor constrain others to do so; c) T&T embraces both classroom and independent work, helping our students engage across differences, receive feedback on their www.fx.eflect on their experiences); we should centralize the resources available to students to enhance their academic an curricular experiences; define more preciselyhbservice- and communitybased learning create a process to support more concretely incentivizethose faculty who wishhoose to participate in engaged liberal learning practices (ELLPs), which include working with and/or advising students in independent studies, theses, exploratory research, practica, capstone work, colloquia, senior seminars, internships, etc.; equalize student access to such opppatudities continue to explore "whether demographic differences in ELLP participation [by students ba on gender, ALANA identity and financial need] are persistent and significathe SEE-Beyond Awards, which CEPP discussed at length with Corey and Kim, represent one very successful component of T&T, for it spanned both demographic and need--B0(o)-4(s)-5(u)-4(p)-

elsewhere (e.g., freeware, such as Sakai and WordPress,-tone-soffelf software) fora

Membership, 2012/3 Christine Kopec, Management & Business Josh Ness, Biology & Environmental Studies

2010/12012/3 2010/12012/3